From:
To: SizewellC

Subject: Response to Sizewell C Planning Application from Interested Party Ref: 20025645

Date: 23 May 2022 16:08:07

Ref: Marie Adams Interested Party No 20025645

I am very concerned that the government has allowed the possibility of a new nuclear power station to be built at Sizewell. The potential site is completely inappropriate due to it's location, ie too near Minsmere and poor infrastructure surrounding it, let alone the devastation to the countryside. Even EDF's assessment highlights the potential for significant site flood risk beyond 2140!!

The model is a prototype and of unproven design. It is also hugely expensive and will have to be paid for by the public years and years before it is even completed. This goes with the likelihood that Sizewell C may not ever come into commission. So we have all paid for nothing!! Look at what is happening with the same design in Flamanville, France. It was started in 2007 at 3.3bn euros. In 2010 it was delayed to 2014 and now at 5bn euros. January 2022 they are talking of fuel loading in mid 2023, cost now 12.7 bn euros. How can anyone have any confidence in the Sizewell C build, with this track record. Hinckley Point C estimated in July 2017 estimated £20 billion, this month estimates are between £25 and £26 billion and start date put back to June 2027 because of continual problems with the design and build.

By the time Sizewell C is built it will be too late to affect climate change and mitigate the climate catastrophe that is looming close. Look at what is happening at Hinkley Point C – over budget and enormously behind schedule. Sizewell C will be reliant on Russia for it's uranium. How does that equate to the current war that Russia has started?

Has anyone solved the issue that there is no portable water supply for the operational phase? I don't think so, nor has anyone come up with a sensible, viable and affordable solution.

I strongly urge you not to take this build forward. Yours sincerely

